ED 442 666   SE 063 803

AUTHOR   Suen, Che-yin; Pok, Yang-ming
TITLE   A Comparison of Authoring Software for Developing
                Mathematics Self-Learning Software Packages.
PUB DATE   1999-00-00
NOTE   9p.
PUB TYPE   Opinion Papers (120)
EDRS PRICE   MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   *Computer Software; *Computer Uses in Education; Elementary
                Secondary Education; *Individualized Instruction;
                *Mathematics Instruction; *Programming Languages

ABSTRACT
                Four years ago, the authors started to develop a self-paced
mathematics learning software called NPMaths by using an authoring package
called Tencore. However, NPMaths had some weak points. A development team was
hence formed to develop similar software called Mathematics On Line. This
time the team used another development language called Scientific Notebook.
In early 1998, the authors developed some question items using Maple as it
includes the strong points of both Tencore and Scientific Notebook.
Unfortunately, all the software mentioned above requires the downloading of
the package into the local machine. All these packages (Tencore, Scientific
Notebook, and Maple) do not have a convenient plug-in version suitable for
Web browser application. The team recently developed similar software using
Java. The first batch of question items using Java were used by more than
1,000 students. The Java development was not too successful because the
downloading time was too slow, especially when many students are using the
package at the same time. Currently the team is studying the possibility of
using ActiveX to develop a learning package in which the downloading time is
expected to be one-tenth of the Java downloading time. (Author)

A COMPARISON OF AUTHORING SOFTWARE FOR DEVELOPINGMATHEMATICSSELF-LEARNING SOFTWAREPACKAGES

Che-yin Suen
Mathematics, Science and Computing Centre
Ngee Ann Polytechnic
suency@asia1.com

Yang-mingPok
Mathematics, Science and Computing Centre
Ngee Ann Polytechnic
pym@np.edu.sg

Abstract

Four years ago, the authors started to develop a self-paced mathematics learning software called NPMaths by using an authoring package called Tencore. However, NPMaths had some weak points. A development team was hence formed to develop similar software called Mathematics On Line. This time the team used another development language called Scientific Notebook. In early 1998, the authors developed some question items using Maple as it includes the strong points of both Tencore and Scientific Notebook. Unfortunately, all the software mentioned above requires the downloading of the package into the local machine. All these packages (Tencore, Scientific Notebook and Maple) do not have a convenient plug-in version suitable for web browser application. The team recently developed similar software using Java. The first batch of question items using Java were used by more than 1000 students. The Java development was not too successful because the downloading time was too slow especially when many students are using the package at the same time. Currently the team is studying the possibility of using ActiveX to develop a learning package in which the downloading time is expected to be one-tenth of the Java downloading time.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past few years, the authors have developed some mathematics self-learning software using different packages: Tencore, Scientific Notebook, Maple, Java and ActiveX. In this paper, the strengths and weaknesses of different software are discussed and compared.

II. TENCORE

Four years ago, the authors started developing a self-paced mathematics learning software called NPMaths by using an authoring package called Tencore. Tencore is a very powerful authoring tool. The authors used Tencore to develop their first software called NPMaths. Tencore enables NPMaths to have the following good features:

1. Randomly generated parameters can be incorporated into question items all have . The items also include randomly generated string variables. As a result, question items can be generated with completely different appearance. For example, the first student may have a question starting "A car..." while the other student may have the same question item starting with "A motorcycle", "A speedboat" or " A truck" etc.

2. More than 99% of NPMaths questions are of free response type. The coder can decide the format of the answers. The answers can be expressions, fractions, decimals or even text. They can also be a series of numbers.

3. NPMaths can be run in a server so that many students can use it at the same time. Furthermore, NPMaths can record the work of the students. Each student can check which topics he/she has finished and his scores in individual topics.

4. The examples and questions of NPMaths can include pictures and animations.

5. Only the coder requires the Tencore authoring tool. The end-users can copy the binary files to run them in any personal computer. It is very convenient to the end-users. Furthermore, the user will only be provided with the binary files. They do not have the chance to view the source code files. Hence the copyright of the coder can be protected.

6. Plotting of graphs is possible and the display is clear. The speed of the animation of plotting can be controlled.

However, Tencore has the following weaknesses :

1. It was a DOS authoring tool at the time of our development. It stands by itself. There is no communication with other software or word processors. For example, the contents of NPMaths cannot be copied and pasted to MS Word documents. There are complaints that it is not easy to get a hard copy of Tencore questions. All the pictures have to be drawn using its own editor. It is impossible to import external picture files.

2. At the time of our development, Tencore could only produce a DOS environment product, which could not be converted into Web-based programs easily. Though the production company claims that its version 8 enables Tencore files to be run in the Web, they are not as convenient as other products which are web-based or web-ready. Of course the user can download the binary files from the Web and run them in the local PC. There is no interactive process between the end-user and the homepage and the response of the end-user cannot be captured.

3. The input of mathematics using Tencore is very inconvenient. Toolbar for the input is currently not possible. The users have to use special keyboard functions to input these symbols. For example, the students have to key in "ctrl-u, u" for a superscript. This method of input is time consuming and not user-friendly.

4. The coding is difficult to learn. It is time-consuming even for experienced coders.

5. Though the authoring system is not required by the end-users, many files have to be downloaded together with the question files before the question can be viewed. It is very time-consuming for the downloading.

Result : A development team was formed to develop an improved software called Mathematics-On-Line. This time the team used another developing language called Scientific Notebook.

III. SCIENTIFIC NOTEBOOK

In late 1997, we wanted to develop some courseware which can be run in the hybrid Windows-web environment. We chose Scientific Notebook version 3.00 to be our new authoring tool. Scientific Notebook is a Computer Algebra System. It contains an important part called Exam Builder which can be used as an authoring tool. Exam Builder has the following good features:

1. It has user friendly toolbars which enable the users to input many special mathematical symbols.

2. The coding is simple. People without a good knowledge of computers can learn the coding in a very short time.

3. It can import picture files easily.

4. Its graph plotting feature is convenient and user friendly.

5.   It works in a Windows based environment. It can also be run in the Web environment with plug-in of Scientific Notebook. The plug-in is available in the internet.

The weak points of Exam Builder are listed below:

1.   The Exam Builder in SN 3.00 can only provide multiple choice question items. Free response questions have to be marked manually. The authors have viewed the beta version of SN 3.10 which is designed to code free response question items. Unfortunately the engine will accept any correct answer in any format. As a result, the coder cannot control the expected format of the answer. In an extreme case, if a student is asked to simplify an expression, he can just copy the question and paste it into the input box to obtain a correct score.

2.   The plotting of graph is not flexible. It is not easy to add any text inside the graph. Labeling of axes is not flexible also.

IV.MAPLE

In early 1998, the authors developed some question items using Maple as Maple includes a number of good features available in both Tencore and Scientific Notebook.

The strong points of Maple are:

1. It can provide free response type of question items.

2. It can import Chinese language directly. There is a high possibility that it can import other languages such as Japanese and Korean.

However, Maple has the following major weak points:

1. It is not user-friendly to the coders and the end-users.

2. Drawing diagram is inconvenient.

V.JAVA

Unfortunately, all the software mentioned above requires the downloading of the package into the local machine. All these packages (Tencore, Scientific Notebook and Maple) do not have a convenient plug-in version for the Web browser. The team developed similar mathematical self-paced learning software using Java in late 1998. A trial run was conducted to 1500 students in early 1999. Java programs have the following strong points:

1.   They can be run in the browser directly without any plugin. It can also be run through the Internet. This will solve the problem of shortage of laboratories. The students can do the exercises anywhere in the campus. Students can also do the practice at home around the clock.

2.   The programming is flexible. It is not dependent on the developer of the authoring tools. For any one of the authoring tools mentioned above (Tencore, Scientific Notebook or Maple), if the user finds that a particular function is not available, there is nothing the user can do about it. In Java developments, it is more flexible for the user to add in a particular function.

Unfortunately, Java also has some major weak points:

1.   The programming is difficult to learn. It is very time consuming even for an experienced.

2.   The program cannot be run in the server. Each question has to be transferred to the local machine. The size of each question is about 200K. The questions ran very smoothly during the trial run. However, it took more than 5 minutes to download one single question when more than 1000 students were downloading at the same time.

The development team was hence studied the possibility of using ActiveX to overcome this problem. In the plan, a user-friendly editor will be developed so that any mathematics teacher can code the questions easily with the help of the editor without knowingprogramminglanguage.

VI.ACTIVEX

ActiveX has the following advantages:

1. The program is written in Visual Basic. The programming is simpler than Java.

2. Using ActiveX is more convenient than Scientific Notebook and Maple.

Some ActiveX questions have already been coded and the trial run will be held in late August. After the trial run, the authors will be able to report on the performance of ActiveX.

Conclusion:

All the authoring tools mentioned in this article are good for developing self-learning mathematics packages. Each of them has its pros and cons. When we choose an authoring tool, we must take into consideration whether the product can be used easily in the working environment such as web. Among them, only Maple can accept the input of Chinese and Japanese characters. This trend is no good for the development in Asian countries as most of the languages in Asia are very similar to each other and very different from English. The developers of authoring tools should be aware of this. Otherwise they will lose this big market in the East.

References:

1. W.C.Yang and C.Y.Suen(1997), "Maple, Teaching and Research in Mathematics, and WWW Technology", 1997 Maple V International Conference, Chang Guan university, Taiwan.

2. A.Y.Chen(1995),"Designing and Implementing Computer-based Learning for Teacher Education", International Conference on Computers in Education 1995, Singapore.

3. T.T.Lu(1997),"Four Types of CAI", 1997 Maple V International Conference, Chang Guan University, Taiwan.

4. A.I.Rosendo(1994),"Computers in Mathematics Education--An Experience", International Conference on Technology in Collegiate Mathematics,Orlando, USA.

Exact Solutions

8

Numerical Solutions

>   U.S. Department of Education
>   Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
>   [Image]
[Image]
>   National Library of Education (NLE)
>   Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)
>
>   Reproduction Release
>   (Specific Document)
>
>   I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:
>
>
>   Title:
>
>   Author(s):
>   Corporate Source: Publication Date:
>
>   II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:
>
> In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant
> materials of interest to the educational community, documents
announced in
> the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in
Education
> (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced
paper
> copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document
Reproduction
> Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and,
if
> reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is
affixed to
> the document.
>
> If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified
> document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in
the
> indicated space following.
>
>   The sample sticker shown The sample sticker shown The sample sticker
shown

1

> below will be affixed to below will be affixed to below will be affixed to below will be
> affixed to
> all Level 1 documents   all Level 2A documents   all Level 2B
> documents

> [Image]   [Image]

> Level 1
> [Image]   [Image]
> Check here for Level 1   Level 2A   Level 2B
> release, permitting   [Image]   [Image]
> reproduction and   Check here for Level 2A
> Level 2B   release, permitting
> dissemination in   reproduction and   Check here for
> permitting   dissemination in   release,
> microfiche or other ERIC
> and   microfiche and in   reproduction
> archival media (e.g.   electronic media for   dissemination
> in
> electronic) and paper   ERIC archival collection   microfiche
> only
> copy.   subscribers only
> Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction
> quality

> If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked,
> documents   permits.

>   will be processed at Level 1.

> I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)
> nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as
> indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic
> media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors
> requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for
> non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to
> satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete
> inquiries.
> Signature:
>
> Organization/Address: Ministry of Education, Singapore.   Printed Name/Position/Title: 65-8742309
> 122 JURONG EAST ST. 13   Telephone: Fax: 65-5665813
> #09-33
> SINGAPORE 600122   E-mail Address: Date: 31 July 2000
>   Suencyin@moe.edu.sg
> III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):   Suency@Asiaone.com

> If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish
> ERIC to
> cite the availability of the document from another source, please
> provide
> the following information regarding the availability of the document.
> (ERIC
> will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a
> dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware
> that
> ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents
> that
> cannot be made available through EDRS.)
>
> Publisher/Distributor:
>
> Address:
>
>
> Price:
>
>
>
> IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

2

> If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other
> than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:
>
> Name: *ASIAN TECHNOLOGY CONFERENCE IN MATHEMATICS*
>
> Address:
>
>   *atcm@runet.edu*
>
>
> V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:
>
>
> Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:
>
>
> However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited
> contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed)
> to:
>
>   ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
>   1100 West Street, 2nd Floor
>   Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598
>   Telephone: 301-497-4080
>   Toll Free: 800-799-3742
>   FAX: 301-953-0263
>   e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
>   WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com
> EFF-088 (Rev. 9/97
--- Forwarded Message ---